**Oakland University Assessment Committee**

**Assessment Process for Programs with External Accreditation**

Overview

The Higher Learning Commission (HLC) of the North Central Association (NCA), the university’s accrediting body, requires the university to ‘*demonstrate a commitment to educational achievement and improvement through ongoing assessment of student learning’.* However, the NCA allows the university to decide how best to meet this requirement.

Typically, programs meet this requirement by participating in the university’s assessment cycle, as detailed by the university assessment committee (UAC). Programs normally participate in this cycle by first submitting an assessment plan to the UAC, and upon approval, implementing that plan and reporting the results of the implementation back to the UAC in two-year repeating cycles.

Programs with external accreditation sometimes operate with a slightly different process than other programs. Typically, external accreditors have assessment requirements that are more stringent then the requirements of the HLC. As such, fulfilling the assessment requirements of the external accreditor is usually sufficient to satisfy the requirements of both the UAC and the HLC. Programs with external accreditation are eligible to apply for a special waiver to have their accreditation process substitute for the normal university process, reducing the burden on programs with external accreditation and on the UAC.

This is how it works. First, the program must show how their external accrediting body’s requirements meet or exceed the requirements of the Higher Learning Commission. This is done through a simple ‘mapping’ process that is submitted to the UAC. Once the mapping process is reviewed and approved, the UAC then only requires your accrediting body’s formal letter of accreditation as evidence that the program is fulfilling the assessment requirements of the HLC. Each time a program is re-accredited, it will need to submit another formal letter, which serves as a substitute for the normal assessment process until its next round of accreditation. This saves the program and the UAC time, because the program does not have to submit formal plans or reports to the UAC.

Instructions: Summary

Step 1: Basic Information

Step 2: Mapping of Standards

Step 3: Final Steps

Please fill this form out electronically. If you are **not** accredited by an external body, use [this form](https://www.oakland.edu/upload/docs/OIRA/Assessment/Forms/UAC%20Assessment%20Report%20Format.docx) instead.

For questions, comments, or help with this form, contact Reuben Ternes ([ternes@oakland.edu](mailto:ternes@oakland.edu))***.***

Completed forms should be sent electronically to Reuben Ternes ([ternes@oakland.edu](mailto:ternes@oakland.edu)).

**Step 1: Basic Information**

*Please fill out the following basic information about your program.*

Program Name: Social Work

School or College your program resides in: College of Arts and Sciences

Program Level (check all that apply):

Undergrad ☐X

Master’s ☐

Doctoral ☐

External Accrediting Agency: Council on Social Work Education

Today’s Date: 2/15/2018

Current Assessment Contact Representative (& E-mail): Maria Beam devoogd@oakland.edu

Current Department or Program Chair (& E-mail): Dorothy Nelson danelson@oakland.edu

Current Dean (& E-mail): Kevin Corcoran corcoran@oakland.edu

**Step 2: Program Mapping**

*Programs with external accreditation must still meet the accrediting standards of the Higher Learning Commission, or submit an assessment report using the long form. Programs with external accreditation must meet the following requirements as stipulated by the Higher Learning Commission of the North Central Association:*

1. The program has clearly stated goals for student learning and effective processes for assessment of student learning and achievement of learning goals.
2. The program assesses achievement of the learning outcomes that it claims for its curricular and co-curricular programs.
3. The program uses the information gained from assessment to improve student learning.
4. The program’s processes and methodologies to assess student learning reflect good practice, including the substantial participation of faculty and other instructional staff members.

*In order for your mapping to be approved, your external accrediting agency must require the above criterions to be met, in some fashion or another. Below, please provide the exact language that your accrediting body uses to show that each of the requirements listed above is also required by your accrediting body. Understand that this mapping is to the HLC’s requirements and the requirements of your accrediting body, and has nothing to do with your program or how your program does assessment. Use the exact language of your accrediting body. In addition, you must provide the location of where members of the UAC can find this language – either a page number in a document or a hyperlink to the appropriate location on the website of your accrediting agency.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Higher Learning Commission Requirements** | **Your Accrediting Body’s Associated Requirements** | **Location** |
| The program has clearly stated goals for student learning and effective processes for assessment of student learning and achievement of learning goals. | The program presents its plan for ongoing assessment of student outcomes for all identified competencies in the generalist level of practice (baccalaureate social work programs) and the generalist and specialized levels of practice (master’s social work programs). Assessment of competence is done by program designated faculty or field personnel.   * A description of the assessment procedures that detail when, where, and how each competency is assessed for each program option. * At least two measures assess each competency. One of the assessment measures is based on demonstration of the competency in real or simulated practice situations. * An explanation of how the assessment plan measures multiple dimensions of each competency, as described in EP 4.0. * Benchmarks for each competency, a rationale for each benchmark, and a description of how it is determined that students’ performance meets the benchmark. * An explanation of how the program determines the percentage of students achieving the benchmark. * Copies of all assessment measures used to assess all identified competencies | <https://www.cswe.org/getattachment/Accreditation/Accreditation-Process/2015-EPAS/2015EPAS_Web_FINAL.pdf.aspx>  Page 18, Standard 4.0.1 |
| The program assesses achievement of the learning outcomes that it claims for its curricular and co-curricular programs. | The program provides its most recent year of summary data and outcomes for the assessment of each of the identified competencies, specifying the percentage of students achieving program benchmarks for each program opt | <https://www.cswe.org/getattachment/Accreditation/Accreditation-Process/2015-EPAS/2015EPAS_Web_FINAL.pdf.aspx>  Page 18 Standard 4.0.2 |
| The program uses the information gained from assessment to improve student learning. | The program describes the process used to evaluate outcomes and their implications for program renewal across program options. It discusses specific changes it has made in the program based on these assessment outcomes with clear links to the data | <https://www.cswe.org/getattachment/Accreditation/Accreditation-Process/2015-EPAS/2015EPAS_Web_FINAL.pdf.aspx>  Page 18 Standard 4.0.4 |
| The program’s processes and methodologies to assess student learning reflect good practice, including the substantial participation of faculty and other instructional staff members. | For each program option, the program provides its plan and summary data for the assessment of the implicit curriculum as defined in EP 4.0 from program defined stakeholders. The program discusses implications for program renewal and specific changes it has made based on these assessment outcome  The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings | <https://www.cswe.org/getattachment/Accreditation/Accreditation-Process/2015-EPAS/2015EPAS_Web_FINAL.pdf.aspx>  Page 18 Standard 4.0.5 & 4.0.3 |

**Step 3: Final Steps**

*Please e-mail your completed form to the UAC/OIRA liaison, Reuben Ternes (*[*ternes@oakland.edu*](mailto:ternes@oakland.edu)*). The UAC will review the program mapping to make sure it meets the HLC standards. After the review is complete, you will receive a response from the UAC indicating the final result of the review.*