

OAKLAND UNIVERSITY WILLIAM BEAUMONT

Introduction

- Aortic Stenosis is progressive narrowing of aortic valve due calcification and affects 1 in 8 persons over 75 years old.
- It can lead to syncope, heart failure, and death.
- 20% five-year survival rate without valve replacement • Treatment with transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TF-TAVR) has emerged as promising minimally invasive option for high-risk patients in the last 10 years.¹
- General Anesthesia (GA) and intravenous conscious sedation (CS) are anesthetics for TF-TAVR.²⁻⁴
- Recent studies show benefits of a Fascia Iliaca Block with Minimal CS (FIB-MCS) compared to GA.
- shorter operating room time, shorter hospital length of stay, lower risk of 30-days re-hospitalization^{5, 6}
- Rationale for beneficial effects of patients undergoing **TF-TAFR** with **FIB-MCS** has not been examined.
- Correlations between mean arterial pressure (MAP) and organ damage with downstream cardiovascular events has been well established.^{7,8}
- Non-cardiac and cardiac surgery have shown associations of blood pressure and its variability with increased 30-day mortality.⁹⁻¹¹
- Opioid administration, especially long-acting opioids like fentanyl, may result in higher post-operative delirium in older adults.¹²
- Vasopressor use can cause ischemia, challenges with titration, and tachyphylaxis.¹³

Aims and Objectives

To determine if intraoperative...

- mean arterial pressure (MAP) variability
- total vasopressor administration
- total opioid administration

are different in General Anesthesia (GA) vs Fascia Iliaca Block + Minimal Conscious Sedation (FIB-MCS) for Transfemoral Transaortic Valve Replacement (TF-TAVR).



Ē

- (2013 2017)
- Outcomes

Fascia Iliaca Block Technique⁶





Matched

Age (year BMI Male/Fen Hypertens Diabetes Immunod Chronic L **Prior Atria Prior Aort**

Intraoperative MAP, Vasopressors, and Opioids in TF-TAVR patients undergoing **Conscious Sedation vs General Anesthesia**

Brett J. Friedman, MPH¹, Patrick Karabon, MS¹, Wei C. Lau, MD² ¹Oakland University William Beaumont School of Medicine, Rochester, MI ²Beaumont Hospital - Department of Anesthesia, Royal Oak, MI

Methods

Study Design and Data Collection

Single Center Retrospective Review of 285 patients with symptomatic severe aortic stenosis receiving TF-TAVR

• 216 Conscious Sedation, 69 General Anesthesia • Propensity Matched Cohort = 96 CS, 48 GA

Primary Outcomes: • Intraoperative MAP, MAPV, TWA-MAP in FIB-MCS vs GA

Secondary Outcomes: • Intraoperative Opioids and Vasopressors



ARV = average real variability **N** = number of BP readings **t** = constant 1-minute time interval between each set of readings





Results

Cohort Baseline Demographics (n = 144)				
Variable	Value			
rs)	81.72 ± 8.49			
	28.67 ± 6.79			
nale	53.7%/46.3%			
sion	88.4%			
Mellitus	39.0%			
leficiency	8.4%			
ung Disease	21.9%			
al Fibrillation	40.1%			
tic Insufficiency	32.0%			

Matched Cohort	
Variability	

Variable

MAP Average Real Variability (mmHg/min)

MAP Time Weighted Average (mmHg)

Matched Cohort Intraoperative Opioid and **Vasopressor Administration**

Drug

Fentanyl (mcg) Ephedrine (mg) Epinephrine (mcg) Hydralazine (mg) Labetalol (mg) Norepinephrine (mc Phenylephrine (mcc

Conscious Sedation

Similar MAPV

HIGHER TWA-MAP 89.4 mmHg

LOWER Fentanyl (mcg) 78.5 mcg

Similar Vasopressor Administration

Results (continued)

Intraoperative Blood Pressure

	FIB-MCS (n = 96)	GA (n = 48)	p-value
	10.0 <u>+</u> 3.2	10.5 <u>+</u> 2.6	0.4
9	89.4±11.8	85.0±7.0	0.006*

	FIB-MCS (n=96)	GA (n=48)	p-value	
	78.5±51.9	112.5±68.5	0.008*	
	16.4 <u>+</u> 12.8	20.6 (13.5)	0.3	
	709 <u>+</u> 800	976 (1,448)	0.8	
	10.0±6.3	10.3 (5.2)	0.9	
	11.7±11.6	11.3 (6.9)	0.9	
cg)	286±446	381 ±321	0.7	
g)	211 <u>+</u> 266	228±167	0.3	

Results Summary



Discussion

- **Benefits of** \downarrow Intraoperative Opioid administration
- Post-operative delirium, respiratory depression, nausea, vomiting¹²
- **Benefits of** \uparrow Intraoperative TWA-MAP
- Lower 30-day mortality associated with \uparrow Intraoperative TWA-MAP up to 90 mmhg¹¹
- Next Steps:
 - Multivariate analysis intraoperative differences predictive of previous FIB-CMS benefits?
 - further exploration into other age demographics
 - larger sample size

References

- 1. Osnabrugge RLJ, Mylotte D, Head SJ, et al. Aortic Stenosis in the Elderly. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2013;62(11):1002-1012. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2013.05.015
- 2. Motloch LJ, Rottlaender D, Reda S, et al. Local versus general anesthesia for transfemoral aortic valve implantation. Clin Res Cardiol 2012:101:45-53
- 3. Hyman MC, Vemulapalli S, Szeto WY, et al. Conscious Sedation versus General Anesthesia for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement: Insights from the NCDR(R) STS/ACC TVT Registry. Circulation 2017
- Jensen HA, Condado JF, Devireddy C, et al. Minimalist transcatheter aortic valve replacement: The new standard for surgeons and cardiologists using transfemoral access? The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery 2015;150:833-9.
- Villablanca PA, Mohananey D, Nikolic K, et al. Comparison of local versus general anesthesia in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic
- valve replacement: A meta-analysis. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2017. 6. Lau WC, Shannon FL, Hanzel GS, et al. Transfemoral Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Using Fascia Iliaca Block as an Alternative Approach to Conscious Sedation as Compared to General Anesthesia Cardiovasc Revasc Med 2020;21:594-601
- 7. Sega R, Corrao G, Bombelli M, et al. Blood pressure variability and organ damage in a general population: results from the PAMELA study (Pressioni Arteriose Monitorate E Loro Associazioni). Hypertension 2002:39:710-4.
- 8. Parati G, Pomidossi G, Albini F, Malaspina D, Mancia G. Relationship of 24-hour blood pressure mean and variability to severity of targetorgan damage in hypertension. J Hypertens 1987;5:93-8.
- 9. Hansson L, Zanchetti A, Carruthers SG, et al. Effects of intensive blood-pressure lowering and low-dose aspirin in patients with hypertension: principal results of the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) randomised trial. HOT Study Group. Lancet 1998;351:1755-62.
- 10. MacMahon S, Peto R, Cutler J, et al. Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease. Part 1, Prolonged differences in blood pressure: prospective observational studies corrected for the regression dilution bias. Lancet 1990;335:765-74.
- 11. Mascha EJ, Yang D, Weiss S, Sessler DI. Intraoperative Mean Arterial Pressure Variability and 30-day Mortality in Patients Having Noncardiac Surgery. Anesthesiology 2015;123:79-91.
- 12. Frauenknecht J, Kirkham KR, Jacot-Guillarmod A, Albrecht E. Analgesic impact of intra-operative opioids vs. opioid-free anaesthesia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Anaesthesia. 2019;74(5):651-662. doi:10.1111/anae.14582
- 13. Bangash MN, Kong M-L, Pearse RM. Use of inotropes and vasopressor agents in critically ill patients. Br J Pharmacol. 2012;165(7):2015-2033. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01588.x