Experiences with Faculty

Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside of instructional settings. As a result, faculty become role models, mentors, and guides for lifelong learning. In addition, effective teaching requires that faculty deliver course material and provide feedback in student-centered ways. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Student-Faculty Interaction* and *Effective Teaching Practices*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

First Year Students

Mean Comparisons		Your first-year students compared with					
	Oakland	Great La	kes Public Effect	Carneg	gie Class Effect	NSS	E 2013 Effect
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	size	Mean	size	Mean	size
Student-Faculty Interaction	20.0	20.1	01	20.0	.00	20.0	.00
Effective Teaching Practices	38.8	39.5	05	40.9 **	*15	40.4 **	12

Notes: Results weighted by gender and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001(2-tailed); Effect size: Mean difference divided

Summary of Indicator Items

		Great Lakes	Carnegie	
Student-Faculty Interaction	Oakland	Public	Class	NSSE 2013
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"	%	%	%	%
3a. Talked about career plans with a faculty member	39	33	33	32
3b. Worked w/faculty on activities other than coursework (committees, student ground	15	18	18	18
3c. Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of c	22	24	24	24
3d. Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member	27	27	30	28
Effective Teaching Practices				
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much instructors have				
5a. Clearly explained course goals and requirements	78	80	82	82
5b. Taught course sessions in an organized way	79	78	79	80
5c. Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult points	74	77	77	78
5d. Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	65	64	67	65
5e. Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments	58	61	64	63

Notes: Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facs imile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE Web site.

Seniors

Mean Comparisons		Your seniors compared with				
	Oakland	Great Lakes Public Effect	Carnegie Class Effect	NSSE 2013 Effect		
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean size	Mean size	Mean size		
Student-Faculty Interaction	20.5	23.7 ***20	20.4 .00	23.2 ***16		
Effective Teaching Practices	40.5	40.0 .04	41.8 **09	41.104		

Notes: Results weighted by gender and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001(2-tailed); Effect size: Mean difference divided

Summary of Indicator Items

		Great Lakes	Carnegie	
Student-Faculty Interaction	Oakland	Public	Class	NSSE 2013
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"	%	%	%	%
3a. Talked about career plans with a faculty member	36	43	37	42
3b. Worked w/faculty on activities other than coursework (committees, student grounds)	19	27	20	25
3c. Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of c	26	33	25	32
3d. Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member	28	32	31	32
Effective Teaching Practices				
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much instructors have				
5a. Clearly explained course goals and requirements	83	81	83	83
5b. Taught course sessions in an organized way	81	79	82	82
5c. Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult points	78	79	76	79
5d. Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	61	59	64	62
5e. Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments	69	65	73	68

Notes: Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facs imile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE Web site.



